	Case 2:22-cv-00272-TOR E	CF No. 154 of 2	filed 05/16/25	PageID.3903	Page 1
1 2					
3					
4					
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
7	FAYE IRENE GUENTHEF individual,	R, an	NO. 2:22-CV-	-0272-TOR	
8	Pl	aintiffs,	ORDER DEN RECONSIDE	YING MOTIO RATION	N FOR
10	v.				
11	JOSEPH H. EMMONS, individually, and OSPREY FIELD CONSULTING				
12	LLC, a limited liability com				
13		efendant.			
14	BEFORE THE COURT is Defendants' Motions for to Reconsider Order				
15	Denying Attorneys' Fees. ECF No. 152. This matter was submitted for				
16	consideration without oral argument. The Court has reviewed the record and files				
17	herein and is fully informed. For the reasons discussed below, Defendants' Motion				
18	is DENIED .				
19	"Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with				
20	newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was				

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION $\sim 1\,$

manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling law." *Sch. Dist. No. 1J v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993); *United Nat. Ins. Co. v. Spectrum Worldwide, Inc.*, 555 F.3d 772, 780 (9th Cir. 2009) (citation omitted). Whether to grant a motion for reconsideration is within the sound discretion of the court. *Navajo Nation v. Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakima Nation*, 331 F.3d 1041, 1046 (9th Cir. 2003).

Because Plaintiff sought damages in excess of \$10,000, this case is not subject to the attorney fee statutes applicable to small claims.

For all the reasons the Court expressed in its original order denying attorney fees, ECF No. 150, the motion is denied.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Defendants' Motions for to Reconsider Order Denying Attorneys' Fees, ECF No. 152, is **DENIED**.

The District Court Executive is directed to enter this Order. The file remains **CLOSED**.

DATED May 16, 2025.



20

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19